

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,073	06/07/2002	Theodorus Lambertus Hoeks	08CS5682-1 3895	
23413 7.	590 07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP		NUTTER, NATHAN M		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
	,		. 1711	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER
		,		0705

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Nathan M. Nutter **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1711

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/064,073	HOEKS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan M. Nutter	1711		

Deroit the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	7).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	-II:	. (21)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous the issue of new matter (see NOTE half	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	•	ompliant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·	4:					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	ient canceling				
7: For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <i>none</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessated. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:			Mutt				
	V	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The concept of the "application of shear" which has been added to the broad claims would require further consideration. The term is deemed to be so broad as to be outside the scope of the application, as filed, and does not serve to place the claims in condition for allowance.